CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Executive Summary & Framework Key

2026 U.S. Senate Voter Intelligence Project | Session 2 Update (Round 3) | October 2026

> **WHAT CHANGED:** All 11 Senate races now have confirmed nominees and a complete Session 2 file. Michigan (Rogers vs. El-Sayed), Florida (Moody vs. Nixon) and New Hampshire (Pappas vs. Sununu) are now built. Election Day is November 3, 2026.

The Citizen Intelligence Archive is a nonpartisan voter resource built for the November 2026 U.S. Senate elections. Its purpose is to give every American a factual X-ray of the candidates asking for their vote. This is not spin and not party propaganda. Every candidate, Republican, Democrat or Independent, runs through the same framework.

Two Deployment Formats

DECK 1	DECK 2
Elevated Analysis Edition: for educators, analysts, journalists and researchers. Full framework language, complete sourcing, Architect Analysis in full voice.	Public Deployment Edition: for every voter. Fifth-grade reading level, short sentences, plain language. In production.

The files in this document are Deck 1 working documents.

Framework Key

THE FIVE PILLARS	Healthcare & Safety Net; Economic Stability & Jobs; Public Safety & Police Accountability; War & Military Spending; Children & Family Welfare.
THE MONEY TEST	A funding-source check on every candidate. Who will this person serve: the people who elected them, or the people who funded them?
AIPAC FLAG	Any candidate who has received AIPAC funding is flagged. This is a follow-the-money position, not a political one.
PRO-ISRAEL PAC (NON-AIPAC)	A separate category for money from pro-Israel PACs that are not AIPAC (DMFI, JAC, J Street, AMP, RJC and others). These groups differ a lot. It is a judgment call, not silently treated as an AIPAC flag. **Open decision (NC).**
BILLIONAIRE / DARK MONEY FLAG	A campaign substantially funded by billionaires, undisclosed super PACs or dark money networks.
SELF-DEALING / FAMILY PAYMENT FLAG	A candidate's campaign paying substantial sums to the candidate's family. Shown at the evidence level it has reached (allegation, complaint or finding).
ARCHITECT ANALYSIS	Uma's systems read of the pattern in a record. Labeled as opinion, not instruction.
CLEAN	No AIPAC or dark money found for that specific flag. "None found" is not the same as a full audit.
PENDING	Not yet answered. Do not treat PENDING as clean.

WATCH / WATCH THIS SPACE	The profile is settled, but one outside event (a trial, an FEC ruling) could add new facts.

Archive Index — Senate Race Files

FILE	RACE	MONEY TEST SNAPSHOT	STATUS
001	Maine: Collins (R) vs. Jackson (D)	Collins AIPAC FLAGGED (~20% of 2025 fundraising, ~$647K career). Jackson clean by stated pledge; pledge-vs-record follow-up open.	Session 2 rebuilt
002	Michigan: Rogers (R) vs. El-Sayed (D)	Rogers AIPAC FLAGGED. El-Sayed clean; AIPAC-linked spending went against him in the primary.	Nominees confirmed
003	Ohio Special: Husted (R) vs. Brown (D)	Both clean on AIPAC. Husted carries a major flag tied to the FirstEnergy / HB6 bribery scandal (not charged).	**WATCH: first trial ended in a hung jury; executives re-indicted June 2026; retrial not concluded**
004	Georgia: Ossoff (D) vs. Collins (R)	Collins AIPAC FLAGGED ($33,250 direct). Ossoff clean, none found.	Session 2 complete
005	North Carolina: Cooper (D) vs. Whatley (R)	Both take pro-Israel PAC money; neither shows direct AIPAC dollars.	**One open judgment call**
006	New Hampshire: Pappas (D) vs. Sununu (R)	Pappas AIPAC FLAGGED (2024 House cycle; no Senate endorsement). Sununu none found.	Nominees confirmed (new)
007	Alaska: Sullivan (R) vs. Peltola (D)	Sullivan AIPAC FLAGGED (~$250K). Peltola clean.	Both advanced Aug 18
008	Iowa: Hinson (R) vs. Turek (D)	Both clean on AIPAC. Turek's no-corporate-PAC pledge does not match his filings.	Session 2 complete
009	Nebraska: Ricketts (R) vs. Osborn (I)	Both clean on AIPAC. FEC complaints allege Osborn paid $370K–$434K to family.	**WATCH: FEC review pending. Osborn certified for ballot**
010	Texas: Paxton (R) vs. Talarico (D)	No AIPAC money found for either.	Session 2 complete
011	Florida Special: Moody (R) vs. Nixon (D)	Moody AIPAC FLAGGED ($85K+). Nixon none found. Moody corporate PAC claim pending.	Nominees confirmed (new)

Also in the archive: CIA-MISSION-001 (mission), CIA-SESSION-INSTRUCTIONS, CIA-MODEL-002 (Schumer, "The Disappointment Machine," an opinion model that is not part of any race file).

Timeline

DATE	MILESTONE
Aug 4	Michigan primary: done
Aug 18	Florida and Alaska primaries: done
Sep 8	New Hampshire primaries: done
Oct 2026	Deck 2 (fifth-grade) versions; House race models begin
Nov 3	ELECTION DAY
Dec 1	Georgia runoff, if no one passes 50% on Nov 3

CHANGELOG (Session 2, Round 3, Oct 2026): Michigan, Florida and New Hampshire moved from primary-pending to nominees confirmed. Ohio status updated (hung jury, re-indictment). Nebraska status updated (ballot certified). Status wording across files made consistent. Remaining open items: NC judgment call; FirstEnergy retrial; Nebraska FEC review; re-verify Pappas and Moody AIPAC totals from filings.

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."
— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler / Citizen Intelligence Archive | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #001 — Maine

Session 2 Update | August 2026

SESSION 2 UPDATE — CANDIDATE SWAP, FULL REBUILD

> **MAJOR CHANGE SINCE SESSION 1:** Graham Platner won the June 9 Democratic primary outright (72%), but withdrew on July 8, 2026 following a sexual assault allegation, which he denies. The Maine Democratic Party held a nominating convention; former Maine Senate President **Troy Jackson** won in a landslide (566–5 delegate vote) and is the presumptive nominee pending formal ballot substitution. This file replaces Platner's profile entirely with a new Jackson profile. Collins' section is refreshed with updated 2026-cycle figures.

> **AIPAC STATUS:** Collins remains CONFIRMED FLAGGED — now with more precise, larger figures than Session 1 likely had (AIPAC accounted for nearly 20% of her 2025 fundraising; cumulative career AIPAC total reported around $647,000). Jackson has publicly pledged not to accept any AIPAC funding and has adopted Platner's sharper Israel-Gaza positions since the switch — a genuine, stated-position clean read, not just an absence of data.

Maine's Senate seat is held by six-term-seeking Republican Susan Collins, who chairs the powerful Senate Appropriations Committee. This is one of the most expensive and closely watched Senate races in the country — ad spending in this single race is projected to approach $384 million. Both the primary and general elections use ranked-choice voting. General election: November 3, 2026.

SUSAN COLLINS (R)

Republican incumbent | U.S. Senator since 1997 | Chair, Senate Appropriations Committee | Age 73 | Caribou, Maine

Collins is Maine's longest-serving member of Congress and the longest-serving Republican woman in the Senate. She chairs the Senate Appropriations Committee, one of the most powerful positions in Congress. She is widely viewed as one of the most vulnerable Republican incumbents on the 2026 map, in a state Trump has not carried.

THE FACTS — VOTING RECORD

Israel & Foreign Policy

Collins has voted to send unconditional military aid to Israel, including a package reported at $41 billion, and has co-sponsored resolutions affirming Israel's "right to self-defense." She voted to increase federal funding for defensive arms to Iran-adjacent conflicts and did not support measures aimed at holding the Netanyahu government accountable, according to her opponents' framing during the primary — voters should weigh the underlying votes directly.

The Strategic No Pattern

Consistent with this archive's existing "Strategic No" model for Collins: voting yes on the procedural vote that advances a bill, then voting no on final passage after the bill already has enough votes to pass —

creating political cover without actually stopping anything. This pattern remains the throughline of her legislative record and is unchanged by this update.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
AIPAC — direct and bundled contributions	AIPAC accounted for nearly 20% of all money Collins raised in 2025 — more than $538,000–$603,000 bundled from 300+ individual donors in a single reporting period, per Bangor Daily News and Zeteo reporting on FEC filings. Cumulative career AIPAC total has been reported at approximately $647,000.	■ **CONFIRMED FLAGGED**
Billionaire-funded super PAC network	Her allied super PAC ("Pine Tree Results" and related groups) has drawn contributions reported from Palantir co-founder Alex Karp, Melinda French Gates, New England Patriots owner Robert Kraft, Elizabeth Uihlein, Apollo Global Management's Marc Rowan, and Blackstone CEO Stephen Schwarzman — roughly $10 million from billionaires and their spouses combined, per Maine AFL-CIO's review of FEC filings. Winning for Women Action Fund has separately booked $16 million in pro-Collins ads.	■ **FLAGGED**
Total raised (2026 cycle)	Approximately $12.2 million, with $2.3 million in Q1 2026 alone. More than $8 million cash on hand entering the general election.	**NOTE**

AIPAC MONEY: **CONFIRMED FLAGGED** — nearly 20% of her 2025 fundraising, among the largest AIPAC-tied hauls in this archive.

BILLIONAIRE / DARK MONEY: **FLAGGED** — roughly $10 million from billionaire donors and spouses through allied super PACs.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — SUSAN COLLINS (updated):** Collins remains the archive's clearest case of both automatic disqualifying flags landing on the same candidate at serious scale. Nearly one dollar in five she raised in 2025 came through AIPAC. Her allied super PAC's billionaire roster reads like a list of private equity and finance titans, several with direct business interests in the votes she casts as Appropriations chair. The Strategic No pattern remains the throughline: she can point to procedural votes that look moderate while the substance goes the other way.

TROY JACKSON (D) — NEW NOMINEE

Democratic nominee (replacing Graham Platner) | Former Maine Senate President 2018–2024 | Fifth-generation logger | Age 57 | Allagash, Maine

Troy Jackson is a fifth-generation logger who began his political career during a 1998 logging blockade protesting the hiring of Canadian temporary workers over local labor. He served in the Maine Senate for two non-consecutive stints (2008–2014, 2016–2024), including six years as Senate President — one of the most powerful positions in Maine government. He won his state Senate district by 20 points in a district that has also voted for both Collins and Trump, a genuine cross-partisan track record.

Record — Labor & Working Class

Jackson has built his career around labor rights advocacy, consistent with his background as a union-aligned logger. He has taken the "People's Pledge" in prior campaigns, committing to reject corporate and dark money — a position he is carrying into this Senate race.

Abortion — A Real Evolution Worth Noting

Early in his legislative career, Jackson held socially conservative positions on abortion. Maine Right to Life gave him perfect scores in 2003 and 2010, and in 2011 he was the only Democrat in the Maine Senate to vote for a fetal personhood bill. He has since said he "made mistakes early on in understanding" the issue, voted against a similar fetal personhood bill in 2017, and voted in 2019 for a bill guaranteeing MaineCare and private insurance coverage of abortion care. Voters should weigh this evolution directly rather than treat either his early record or his current position as the whole story.

Israel — New Position Since Entering This Race

Jackson said little publicly about Israel-Palestine policy during his two decades in the state legislature. Since becoming the Senate nominee, he has adopted several of Platner's sharper positions: using the term "genocide" to describe Israel's war in Gaza, and calling for an end to U.S. military aid to Israel on his campaign website. He has stated he will not accept AIPAC funding. Notably, he did not mention Israel or AIPAC at all in his nomination acceptance speech, even as a rival candidate used her own remarks to directly criticize Collins' Israel voting record — a silence some Maine Jewish community members have read as calculated distance from the issue rather than a settled position. Voters should watch whether this evolving position holds under general-election scrutiny.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
AIPAC	Jackson has publicly pledged not to accept AIPAC funding. No AIPAC contributions have been reported.	**CLEAN — stated pledge, consistent with available records**
Small donors / grassroots	Since winning the nomination, Jackson's campaign reports 30,000+ new donors and roughly $2 million raised in the days following the convention alone, per Bangor Daily News. His prior campaign (for governor, before switching to this Senate race) reported 5,000+ contributions from all 16 Maine counties and backing from 40+ labor unions including the Maine AFL-CIO.	**CLEAN**
People's Pledge — a real complication	Jackson has publicly committed to rejecting corporate and dark money ("People's Pledge"). However, reporting from his prior gubernatorial campaign (The Maine Wire, May 2026) found his campaign directly received $2,500 from an out-of-state investment-firm millionaire, who separately donated $50,000 to a PAC ("Working Mainers First") supporting his candidacy. It is not yet confirmed whether this PAC or donor relationship carries into his current Senate bid — this needs a direct follow-up check before this profile is treated as final.	**PENDING FOLLOW-UP — pledge vs. record gap flagged in a prior race, not yet confirmed for this one**
		■ WATCH

National Democratic infrastructure	Senate Majority PAC (Schumer-aligned) and national Democrats are actively rallying financial support behind his campaign following the late nominee switch.	

AIPAC MONEY: **CLEAN, BY STATED PLEDGE** — consistent with available records; recommend one follow-up check closer to the general election as more FEC filings post.

DARK MONEY / CORPORATE PAC: **PENDING FOLLOW-UP** — a pledge vs. record gap was documented in his prior (gubernatorial) campaign; needs direct confirmation for the Senate race specifically before treating his "no corporate PAC" claim as fully verified here.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — TROY JACKSON (new profile):** Jackson enters this race as a genuine working-class counterpoint to Collins — a fifth-generation logger who has out-performed Democratic baselines in a district that also votes for Collins and Trump. His labor record is real and well-documented. Two things are worth watching rather than assuming settled: his rapid evolution on Israel policy since stepping into a race his predecessor built partly around that issue, and whether the corporate-money tension documented in his governor's race (a $2,500 direct contribution and $50,000 PAC donation from the same out-of-state millionaire) shows up again in this one. Neither disqualifies him under the framework — but a "clean money" claim should be checked against his actual filings, the same standard applied to every candidate in this archive regardless of party.

STATUS: SESSION 2 MAJOR REBUILD. Jackson's nomination is presumptive pending formal ballot substitution (deadline was July 27, 2026 — confirm this has been finalized before publication). Collins' money picture is refreshed with more precise figures. Jackson's corporate-PAC pledge needs one more direct check against his current Senate filings before this profile is considered fully closed.

CHANGELOG (Session 2, August 2026):
— MAJOR: Replaced Graham Platner's profile entirely — he withdrew July 8, 2026 following a sexual assault allegation he denies
— Added new Troy Jackson profile: background, labor record, abortion-position evolution, new Israel positioning
— Confirmed Jackson's public AIPAC-rejection pledge; flagged a pledge-vs-record question carried over from his prior gubernatorial run, not yet confirmed for this race
— Refreshed Collins' AIPAC figures with more precise 2026-cycle numbers (~20% of 2025 fundraising, ~$647K career total) and full billionaire-donor list for her allied super PAC
— Confirmed the "Strategic No" model (existing framework term) still applies to Collins' current record

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."
— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #002 — Michigan

Primary Called | August 5, 2026

NOMINEE CONFIRMED — ABDUL EL-SAYED WINS DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

> **WHAT CHANGED:** NBC News projected Abdul El-Sayed the winner of the August 4 Democratic primary over Rep. Haley Stevens, overcoming an outside-spending disadvantage reported between roughly 18-to-1 and $65 million total. He advances to face Republican nominee Mike Rogers, already profiled and AIPAC-confirmed-flagged from Session 1. This is one of the largest AIPAC-linked outside-spending stories in the entire 2026 cycle — worth covering in real detail rather than a brief note.

Michigan is the only open Democratic-held Senate seat in a state Trump carried in 2024. Incumbent Gary Peters chose not to seek a third term. Whoever wins in November could determine which party controls the Senate. General election: November 3, 2026.

MIKE ROGERS (R)

Republican nominee | Former U.S. Representative 2001–2015 | Former FBI Agent | Age 62

Mike Rogers served 14 years in Congress representing mid-Michigan and chaired the House Intelligence Committee. He lost the 2024 Michigan Senate race to Elissa Slotkin by just 0.3 percentage points — under 5,000 votes. He has Trump's endorsement and ran unopposed in the Republican primary.

THE FACTS — VOTING RECORD (CARRIED FORWARD FROM SESSION 1)

Healthcare

Rogers voted AGAINST the Affordable Care Act in 2010 and repeatedly voted to repeal it. He voted for a 2011 plan to replace Medicare with a voucher system and raise the Medicare eligibility age from 65 to 67. He voted AGAINST letting the government negotiate lower drug prices, and accepted over $1 million from the health and pharmaceutical industry during his time in Congress.

Elections & Democracy

After the 2020 election, Rogers publicly said Biden won fairly and called on Trump to concede. When he ran for Senate in 2024 and 2026 with Trump's endorsement, he reversed course and promoted election conspiracy theories. His 2026 campaign includes county chairs pardoned by Trump for the fake electors plot.

Workers & Women

Rogers voted AGAINST the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act twice, and against the Paycheck Fairness Act twice.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG

Pro-Israel PAC money	Listed as pro-Israel on TrackAIPAC's Michigan delegation page.	■ **FLAGGED**
Health & pharma industry (career)	$1M+ from the industry over his career.	■ **FLAGGED**
Great Lakes Conservatives Fund (super PAC)	$6M war chest.	■ **FLAGGED**
Bluff Point Associates (private equity, top super PAC donor)	$100K+.	■ **FLAGGED**

AIPAC MONEY: **FLAGGED** — listed as pro-Israel on TrackAIPAC's Michigan page (Session 1 finding, unchanged).

BILLIONAIRE / DARK MONEY: **FLAGGED** — $6M super PAC, private equity donors, major corporate PACs.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — MIKE ROGERS:** Unchanged from Session 1. Rogers lost by under 5,000 votes last cycle and will not make the same mistakes twice, but his record is clear: he voted to gut the healthcare system millions of Michiganders depend on, voted against equal pay protections twice, and reversed his own public statement on the legitimacy of the 2020 election once it became politically convenient to do so.

ABDUL EL-SAYED (D) — CONFIRMED NOMINEE

Democratic nominee | Former Wayne County Health Director | Physician | Age 39 | Detroit, Michigan | Won August 4, 2026 primary over Rep. Haley Stevens

El-Sayed ran for Michigan governor in 2018 as a progressive and has Bernie Sanders' and Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez's endorsement. He defeated four-term Rep. Haley Stevens in the Democratic primary, overcoming a massive outside-spending disadvantage, and now advances to face Rogers.

THE FACTS — RECORD & POSITIONS

Healthcare

Supports Medicare for All — full government healthcare for every American, eliminating premiums, deductibles, and most co-pays.

Israel-Gaza Policy — The Defining Issue of This Primary

El-Sayed characterized Israel's war in Gaza as a central issue of his campaign and took aim at Stevens' AIPAC and for-profit insurance donations directly in debate. He said he wanted to court Jewish voters without moderating his actual positions. This primary was widely covered nationally as a test case for the Democratic Party's internal divide over Israel policy and AIPAC's role in primaries — and El-Sayed won it.

Record

No legislative voting record — his track record is in public health, leading Wayne County's health department during the pandemic.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
AIPAC — direct	$0. Per FEC-filing-based tracking (FundVoter), El-Sayed has $0 in AIPAC-linked direct contributions across a campaign that raised $14.5 million overall.	**CONFIRMED CLEAN — $0 direct**
AIPAC's United Democracy Project — spent AGAINST him	AIPAC's super PAC, United Democracy Project, was the largest single funder of the outside-spending effort to defeat El-Sayed and elect Stevens instead — estimates range from roughly $10 million to over $30 million specifically from UDP, as part of a combined $50–65 million in outside spending backing Stevens across six aligned super PACs. This is money that worked AGAINST El-Sayed, the functional opposite of an AIPAC funding flag.	**NOT A FLAG — this is opposition spending, not funding to him**
Small donors / grassroots	$14.5+ million raised, dominated by small-dollar individual contributions — more than double Stevens' haul in the final pre-primary quarter.	**CLEAN**
Corporate PAC money	None found — consistent with his public no-corporate-PAC positioning.	**CLEAN**
Super PAC pledge — a real nuance	El-Sayed pledged to refuse all super PAC support. American Priorities PAC, an anti-AIPAC group, publicly said it would spend on his behalf anyway, despite his pledge and without his campaign's coordination or apparent endorsement of the move. This is not a broken pledge in the way other candidates' pledge-gaps have been in this archive — it is outside spending he did not solicit and cannot legally coordinate with — but voters should know it exists.	**■ WATCH — outside spending contrary to his stated pledge, not his own money**

AIPAC MONEY: **CONFIRMED CLEAN** — $0 direct. Notably, AIPAC's own super PAC spent tens of millions specifically to defeat him — the clearest case in this archive of AIPAC money working against a candidate rather than for one.

DARK MONEY / CORPORATE PAC: **CLEAN** — small-dollar dominated; one outside PAC's unsolicited spending on his behalf is worth noting but does not reflect his own fundraising practices.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — ABDUL EL-SAYED:** This is one of the starkest money-test results in the entire archive. A candidate who took $0 in AIPAC money faced an estimated $30–60 million in outside spending — much of it specifically organized by AIPAC's own super PAC — and won anyway. Whatever else is true about this race, it is a direct, real-world data point on whether AIPAC-aligned money can reliably buy a primary outcome in 2026: here, it could not. His lack of a legislative record remains the honest counterpoint — voters are taking his positions on faith rather than a voting history, the same tension this archive has flagged for other first-time candidates.

STATUS: NOMINEE CONFIRMED. Both candidates' money pictures are settled and clear — Rogers flagged on both AIPAC and dark money; El-Sayed clean on both, with the added, unusual data point of AIPAC's own super PAC spending heavily against him. General election November 3, 2026, rated one of the most competitive races in the country.

CHANGELOG (August 5, 2026):
— Democratic primary called: Abdul El-Sayed defeats Haley Stevens, confirmed by NBC News projection
— Built full new El-Sayed profile: record, Israel-Gaza positioning (central to the primary), funding
— Confirmed El-Sayed's AIPAC status: $0 direct, and a notable case of AIPAC's super PAC (United Democracy Project) spending an estimated $10-30M+ specifically to defeat him
— Flagged the American Priorities PAC situation as outside spending contrary to his own pledge, distinct from the pledge-vs-own-filing gaps found in other candidates' profiles this cycle
— Rogers profile carried forward unchanged from Session 1 — already AIPAC-confirmed-flagged

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."

— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #003 — Ohio (Special Election)

Session 2 — New File | August 2026

SESSION 2 — FULL PROFILE BUILT (PRIMARY ALREADY DECIDED)

> **STATUS:** Both primaries already happened — this is not primary-pending. Jon Husted (R, appointed incumbent) won his primary in March 2026; Sherrod Brown (D) won his primary May 5, 2026. They are the confirmed general-election matchup for the remainder of J.D. Vance's term (through January 2029), with a full six-year term up again in 2028. No AIPAC money found for either candidate.

> **WATCH THIS SPACE:** The retrial of two former FirstEnergy executives on bribery charges tied to the HB 6 scandal — the case in which Husted testified as a defense witness this spring — is scheduled for September 2026, squarely in the middle of the general election campaign. This profile should be revisited when that trial produces a verdict or new testimony.

This is a special election to fill the remainder of J.D. Vance's Senate term after he resigned to become vice president. Ohio Gov. Mike DeWine appointed Jon Husted, then lieutenant governor, to the seat in January 2025. Husted must now win a special election to keep it. Trump carried Ohio by more than 11 points in 2024, but Sherrod Brown — Ohio's best-known Democrat, who lost his prior Senate seat to Bernie Moreno by 4 points in 2024 — is seen as Democrats' strongest possible recruit for this specific race. General election: November 3, 2026.

JON HUSTED (R)

Republican incumbent (appointed) | U.S. Senator since January 2025 | Former Ohio Lt. Governor 2019–2025 | Former Ohio Secretary of State 2011–2019 | Age 58 | Montpelier, Ohio

Husted was appointed by Gov. Mike DeWine to fill Vance's vacated seat. He has a long résumé in Ohio Republican politics — Ohio House Speaker, Secretary of State, and Lieutenant Governor across more than two decades. He has Trump's endorsement and faced no serious primary challenge.

THE FACTS — THE FIRSTENERGY / HB 6 BRIBERY SCANDAL

Husted championed House Bill 6 as lieutenant governor-elect and lieutenant governor — a 2019 law that forced Ohio utility ratepayers to bail out two nuclear plants (roughly $1 billion) and two aging coal plants, while gutting the state's renewable-energy standards. Federal prosecutors called the scheme behind HB 6's passage the largest bribery and money-laundering case in Ohio history: FirstEnergy funneled roughly $60 million through a dark-money nonprofit to install a friendly House speaker, pass the bill, and defeat a citizen repeal effort. Former House Speaker Larry Householder is serving a 20-year federal sentence for racketeering; former Ohio GOP chair Matt Borges was also convicted. The DeWine-appointed utility regulator at the center of the case, Sam Randazzo, died by suicide in 2024 after being charged in connection with allegedly accepting $4.3 million from FirstEnergy.

Husted has not been charged and has denied wrongdoing. He testified remotely as a defense witness in the executives' bribery trial in March 2026, saying he did not recall the substance of a pivotal 2018 dinner

with FirstEnergy executives and Gov. DeWine. Separately, public records reported by Cleveland.com show FirstEnergy made a secret $1 million payment to a group supporting Husted's campaign before the scandal became public; Husted has declined to say whether he knew about the contribution at the time. Ratepayers have paid more than $500 million in coal subsidies alone under the law, and a November 2025 regulatory order added $250.70 million in customer restitution obligations for FirstEnergy. The retrial of the two executives is scheduled for September 2026 — during the general election.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel PAC money	No AIPAC or pro-Israel PAC contributions found in itemized FEC records or TrackAIPAC's database. His documented top organizational donors are entirely domestic industry PACs.	**NO EVIDENCE FOUND — genuinely clean on this specific flag**
Corporate PACs	Top organizational contributors include GE Aerospace PAC, General Dynamics PAC, Centene Corporation PAC, CSX Corporation's Good Government Fund, and the National Automobile Dealers Association PAC — each giving $10,000. AT&T and Comcast are also reported among his PAC contributors.	■ **FLAGGED**
Senate Leadership Fund (GOP super PAC)	Committed $79 million to support Husted — the single largest investment in the group's $342 million, eight-state Senate strategy for 2026.	■ **FLAGGED**
FirstEnergy-linked dark money (historical)	Public records show a secret $1 million payment from FirstEnergy to a group supporting Husted's campaign before the bribery scandal became public. Husted has not said whether he knew of the contribution at the time.	■ **FLAGGED — historical, tied directly to the bribery scandal**
Total raised (Q2 2026)	$3.4 million in direct donations plus $4.3 million from affiliated PACs; $11 million cash on hand. Narrower donor base than Brown — 584 unique itemized donors vs. Brown's 6,700+.	**NOTE**

AIPAC MONEY: **NO EVIDENCE FOUND** — clean on this specific flag.

DARK MONEY / CORPORATE PAC: **FLAGGED** — the historical FirstEnergy $1 million payment is the standout item here, directly tied to Ohio's largest-ever bribery case, layered on top of a heavily PAC-and-large-donor funding model.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — JON HUSTED:** AIPAC isn't part of this story at all — the money question here is entirely domestic, and it's a serious one. Husted has not been charged with a crime, and voters should hold that distinction clearly. But the facts that are established — his proximity to the dinner prosecutors treat as pivotal, the secret $1 million payment to a group supporting his campaign, and his championing of the law at the center of Ohio's largest bribery case — are not in dispute. The September retrial happening mid-campaign means this story is not finished; voters deserve an update when it concludes, not a frozen Session 2 snapshot.

SHERROD BROWN (D)

Democratic nominee | U.S. Senator 2007–2025 | Former Ohio Secretary of State | Age 73 | Mansfield, Ohio

Brown served 18 years in the Senate, chairing the Banking Committee, before losing his seat to Republican Bernie Moreno by about 4 points in 2024. He is Ohio's best-known Democrat and immediately became the frontrunner for this nomination.

THE FACTS — RECORD & POSITIONING

Labor & Economic Populism

Brown has built his career and this campaign around a "dignity of work" message, positioning himself as a blue-collar champion for Ohio manufacturing and union workers. He founded the Dignity of Work Institute after his 2024 loss.

Israel Policy

Brown has consistently affirmed Israel's "right to defend itself" and voted for military aid packages to Israel. He took a relatively hawkish position on Iran as Banking Committee chairman, pressing for re-freezing Iranian funds after October 7, 2023. J Street PAC — a pro-diplomacy, pro-Israel group distinct from AIPAC — has endorsed him and describes him as "strongly aligned with our pro-Israel, pro-peace, pro-democracy values."

Labor Endorsement Shifts — Worth Noting

Several unions that backed Brown in 2024 — including the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers and International Union of Operating Engineers Local 66 — have since endorsed Husted instead, a notable erosion of Brown's labor base heading into this race.

Populist Framing vs. Donor Base — A Real Tension

Brown's campaign emphasizes his blue-collar, anti-billionaire message. However, FEC filings show he has received at least $1.2 million from actors, producers, writers, and industry executives concentrated in wealthy California zip codes tied to the entertainment industry, and is attending Hollywood fundraisers (including one hosted by Sony Pictures' CEO) that reportedly suggest $10,000 donations. This is a legitimate tension for a campaign built on a "fighting billionaires" message, worth naming plainly rather than ignoring because it cuts against a sympathetic figure.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel PAC money	No AIPAC contribution found. J Street PAC has endorsed him but no dollar figure is attached to the endorsement in available records. Notably, AIPAC's own super PAC (United Democracy Project) and allied crypto group Fairshake spent heavily to help defeat Brown in his 2024 race — the opposite of a funding relationship.	**NO EVIDENCE FOUND — genuinely clean, and there's a documented history of AIPAC-aligned spending working against him, not for him**
		■ WATCH — framing vs. record gap

Hollywood / entertainment industry donors	At least $1.2 million from California entertainment-industry donors, per FEC filings reviewed by Fox News. In tension with his working-class campaign framing.	
Total raised (Q2 2026)	$14.1 million in direct donations plus $3 million from an affiliated PAC — more than double Husted's haul in the same quarter. Over 6,700 unique itemized donors.	**NOTE**
Labor union PACs	Historically strong union base, though several key unions have shifted their endorsement to Husted this cycle (see above).	**■ WATCH — base erosion**

AIPAC MONEY: **NO EVIDENCE FOUND** — clean, and AIPAC-aligned spending has actually worked against him previously, not for him.

BILLIONAIRE / DARK MONEY: **WATCH** — no traditional dark money found, but the Hollywood-donor pattern sits in real tension with his populist framing.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — SHERROD BROWN:** Clean on AIPAC specifically, and unusually so — the pro-Israel lobby's spending arm has actually worked to defeat him before, which is a genuinely different pattern than most candidates in this archive. The Hollywood-donor tension is worth naming with the same directness the framework applies to every other candidate: a "fighting for the working class, not billionaires" message sits awkwardly next to $1.2 million from wealthy entertainment executives, even if the underlying dollar amount is modest next to Husted's corporate PAC and super PAC totals.

STATUS: SESSION 2 NEW FILE. Both candidates clean on AIPAC. Husted's FirstEnergy exposure is the dominant story and is unresolved — the September 2026 retrial will produce new material mid-campaign and this file should be updated when it does. Brown's Hollywood-donor tension is a smaller but real flag worth tracking against his messaging.

CHANGELOG (Session 2, August 2026):
— NEW FILE: Ohio was not previously built out beyond a placeholder in the archive index
— Husted profile built: FirstEnergy/HB6 bribery scandal background, AIPAC status (clean), corporate PAC and super PAC funding
— Brown profile built: labor record, Israel positioning, AIPAC status (clean, notably including AIPAC-aligned money working against him in 2024), Hollywood-donor tension flag
— Flagged September 2026 FirstEnergy retrial as an open item requiring a follow-up update

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."

— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #004 — Georgia

Session 2 Update | August 2026

SESSION 2 UPDATE — AIPAC CONFIRMATION APPLIED (COLLINS)

> **WHAT CHANGED SINCE SESSION 1:** Mike Collins' AIPAC status moved from PENDING to CONFIRMED FLAGGED — direct AIPAC contribution of $33,250 identified, alongside crypto-industry PAC support (Winklevoss twins, Elon Musk, SpaceX). Jon Ossoff's AIPAC status remains unconfirmed — no funding identified in this round of research, but this is noted as "no evidence found," not a clean clearance. See changelog at bottom.

Georgia is one of the most expensive Senate races of 2026. Democratic incumbent Jon Ossoff is the only Democrat defending a Senate seat in a state Trump won in 2024. His Republican challenger is Congressman Mike Collins, a Freedom Caucus member who won the GOP runoff on June 16 with Trump's endorsement. Note: Georgia requires a majority to win. If no candidate passes 50%, a runoff is scheduled for December 1, 2026.

CANDIDATE A — MIKE COLLINS (R)

Republican nominee | U.S. Representative, Georgia's 10th District 2023–present | Age 58 | Jackson, Georgia

Mike Collins is a trucking company owner who followed his father into Congress. He is a member of the Freedom Caucus — the most conservative bloc in the House. Heritage Action, a hard-right scorecard, rates him 99% lifetime. He won the Republican nomination after Trump endorsed him in the runoff against football coach Derek Dooley.

THE FACTS — VOTING RECORD

Abortion

Collins told an anti-abortion group during his 2022 campaign that he supports banning abortion with no exceptions — not for rape, incest, or the health of the mother. In January 2025, he cosponsored a bill to recognize personhood beginning at conception, which would effectively ban abortion nationwide. Even Trump privately raised concerns about this position — worried it would cost Collins votes in Georgia.

Healthcare

Collins has voted with House Republicans to cut Medicaid and roll back ACA protections. He has not put forward a healthcare plan of his own. His stated priorities are cutting government spending and reducing regulations — not expanding coverage.

Elections & Democracy

During his 2022 campaign, Collins falsely claimed Trump won Georgia in 2020. He filmed a campaign ad where he shot a voting machine. He has not publicly corrected his 2020 election claims.

Conduct Flags

In January 2026, the House Ethics Committee published an Office of Congressional Conduct referral finding substantial reason to believe Collins used Congressional resources for unofficial purposes and employed a staffer who did not perform duties commensurate with her compensation. During the primary runoff, Collins' official social media account referred to a sexual assault survivor using a derogatory term; he blamed a staffer and apologized. In March 2024, Collins responded to an antisemitic post about a Jewish journalist with "Never was a second thought." He did not apologize.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
AIPAC — direct contribution	$33,250 in direct/earmarked AIPAC contributions confirmed. Collins also drew support from crypto-industry PACs — Winklevoss twins, Elon Musk, and SpaceX among early contributors.	■ **CONFIRMED FLAGGED**
Freedom Caucus / conservative PACs	Aligned with hardline conservative funding networks.	■ **FLAGGED**
Trump endorsement (super PAC support)	One Nation (GOP-aligned group) announced plans to spend $15 million targeting Ossoff in support of Collins.	■ **FLAGGED**
Ethics referral exposure	Congressional Ethics inquiry may affect donor confidence and fundraising.	■ **WATCH**

AIPAC MONEY: **CONFIRMED FLAGGED** — $33,250 in direct AIPAC contributions. This is no longer a pending item.

DARK MONEY: **FLAGGED** — Freedom Caucus and Trump super PAC networks, plus crypto-industry PAC money and a $15M GOP outside spending commitment (One Nation).

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — MIKE COLLINS (updated):** The AIPAC question is no longer a question. $33,250 in direct contributions, sitting alongside crypto-industry money from some of the wealthiest individuals in the country. Collins is not just aligned with hard-right domestic politics — he is now a fully documented case under both automatic disqualifying flags: AIPAC and dark/billionaire money. The Ethics Committee referral and the antisemitic-post response were already serious. This closes the loop on funding. Nothing here changes the conduct record — it adds to it.

CANDIDATE B — JON OSSOFF (D)

Democratic incumbent | U.S. Senator since January 2021 | Age 39 | Atlanta, Georgia

Jon Ossoff is the youngest sitting U.S. Senator. He was born and raised in Atlanta. Before politics, he ran an investigative journalism company that exposed war crimes, human trafficking, and corruption around the world. He was mentored by civil rights legend John Lewis. He flipped Georgia's Senate seat in the January 2021 runoff — a historic upset.

THE FACTS — VOTING RECORD

Healthcare

Ossoff voted to cap insulin costs at $35 a month for seniors. He has supported expanding Medicaid and protecting ACA coverage. He points out that 350,000 fewer Georgians signed up for ACA coverage this year because of Trump-era subsidy cuts — and calls that unacceptable.

Children & Family Safety

Ossoff led bipartisan Senate investigations that exposed the sexual assault of female inmates in federal detention, the mistreatment of women in immigration custody, and the medical neglect of children in federal facilities. He passed legislation to protect children from online exploitation.

Veterans

Ossoff passed bipartisan laws to expand mental health care for veterans and to upgrade housing and child development centers for military families. He helped pass the PACT Act — one of the most significant veterans' healthcare expansions in decades, covering veterans exposed to toxic burn pits.

Bipartisan Record

In his first two years, Ossoff passed more standalone bills into law than any other freshman senator. He has built a record of working across party lines even in divided Congresses — on prison reform, veterans' care, and public safety.

Immigration — One Notable Flag

Ossoff was one of 12 Senate Democrats who voted for the Laken Riley Act — which gives federal immigration authorities broad power to detain migrants accused of a range of crimes. Civil liberties groups flagged concerns about the bill. Ossoff has said he supports border security while opposing civil rights violations by immigration agents. Voters should weigh this vote themselves.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
Small donors / grassroots	Strong national small-dollar base. Q4 2025 fundraising: 99% of contributions under $200, average donation $37 across 303,000+ individual donations. $25M+ cash on hand entering 2026. Over $81M raised total, $42M cash on hand as of mid-2026.	**CLEAN**
AIPAC	No AIPAC funding identified in this round of research. Ossoff's Jewish heritage and Israel positions add complexity voters may want to research further. Absence of evidence is not the same as confirmed clean — this stays open for a further targeted check (FEC itemized donor search specifically for AIPAC-linked individual bundlers).	**PENDING — leaning clean, not yet confirmed**
Opposition spending 2026	One Nation (GOP-aligned super PAC) announced $15 million targeting Ossoff. This is outside spending against him, not funding of his campaign.	**WATCH (against, not for)**

AIPAC MONEY: **PENDING** — No funding identified this round; not yet confirmed clean. Recommend one more targeted FEC/TrackAIPAC bundler search before finalizing.

BILLIONAIRE / DARK MONEY: NO — No dark money super PAC equivalent identified. Strong grassroots base ($37 average donation).

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — JON OSSOFF (unchanged):** Ossoff's record is substantive for a first-term senator. The investigations he led — on prison abuse, on women in federal detention, on children in immigration custody — are not headline-grabbing work. They are the kind of oversight that actually protects people. That matters. The AIPAC question still needs a fully confirmed answer before this profile is final — "no evidence found" is progress, not a finding.

STATUS: SESSION 2 PARTIALLY COMPLETE. Collins' AIPAC flag is now CONFIRMED. Ossoff's AIPAC status remains PENDING (no evidence found, not yet confirmed clean) — one more targeted search recommended before this file is marked fully final. Ethics referral against Collins ongoing. Georgia rated competitive; runoff possible December 1, 2026 if no majority November 3.

CHANGELOG (Session 2, August 2026):
— Collins AIPAC status: PENDING → CONFIRMED FLAGGED ($33,250 direct contribution; added crypto PAC context)
— Ossoff AIPAC status: PENDING → PENDING, no evidence found this round (unchanged status, added research note)
— Updated fundraising figures for both candidates (Ossoff: $81M raised / $42M cash on hand; Collins: crypto PAC + One Nation $15M outside spend against Ossoff)
— Money Test Result boxes updated to reflect above

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."

— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #005 — North Carolina

Session 2 Update | August 2026

SESSION 2 UPDATE — TRACKAIPAC CANDIDATE DATA APPLIED

> **WHAT CHANGED SINCE SESSION 1:** Real pro-Israel lobby figures found for both candidates via TrackAIPAC's candidate-level database. Neither candidate shows a direct AIPAC-specific contribution — both take money from other pro-Israel PAC networks instead.

> **FRAMEWORK QUESTION FOR UMA:** Cooper has received $132,025 from pro-Israel lobby groups (DMFI, JAC, J Street) — Whatley has received $26,156 (AMP, Republican Jewish Coalition). Neither includes AIPAC by name. Your framework's automatic disqualifying flag is written specifically as "AIPAC funding." Decide: does the flag apply only to literal AIPAC dollars (in which case both candidates are technically NO on this specific flag), or to the broader pro-Israel PAC category as a whole (in which case both are FLAGGED, just via different organizations)? This profile presents the raw numbers below and marks the flag as a judgment call pending your decision — not resolved unilaterally.

North Carolina's Senate seat is open — Republican Thom Tillis is retiring. This race could cost up to $800 million, which would make it the most expensive Senate race in American history. Democrats have not won a Senate seat in North Carolina since 2008. But Roy Cooper has never lost a statewide race — and current polling shows him leading by high single digits to double digits.

CANDIDATE A — MICHAEL WHATLEY (R)

Republican nominee | Former RNC Chair 2024–2025 | Former NC GOP Chair 2019–2024 | Oil & Gas Lobbyist 15+ years | Age 57

Michael Whatley has never held elected office. He is a career political operative and lobbyist who ran the Republican National Committee before Trump encouraged him to run for Senate. He was born in Michigan and moved to North Carolina as a teenager. Trump endorsed him and he won the GOP primary with 64.6% of the vote.

THE FACTS — BACKGROUND & RECORD

Oil & Gas Lobbying

For more than 15 years, Whatley worked as a lobbyist for oil and gas companies. He was a partner at lobbying firm HBW Resources and executive vice president of the Consumer Energy Alliance. He lobbied for offshore oil drilling in the Atlantic Ocean — including off the North Carolina coast — a position that drew bipartisan opposition from North Carolinians who depend on coastal tourism and fishing. After becoming a Senate candidate, Whatley's oil and gas stocks rose by up to $63,000 in a single weekend after he cheered on the Trump administration's Venezuela oil policy.

Healthcare

Whatley has called for eliminating the Affordable Care Act. Nearly 1 million North Carolinians get their health coverage through the ACA. He has not offered a replacement plan.

Hurricane Helene — Failure to Show Up

Trump appointed Whatley to the FEMA Review Council after Hurricane Helene devastated western North Carolina in October 2024. More than 13 months later, Helene victims had received federal support covering only 12% of their documented needs. Over 100 western NC residents signed a petition demanding Whatley resign or be replaced from the council.

Elections & Democracy

After January 6, 2021, Whatley refused to blame Trump for the Capitol attack. In February 2021, he claimed there was "evidence of voting irregularities" in the 2020 election — a claim that courts rejected more than 60 times nationwide. He also said "we don't need separation of church and state."

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	AMOUNT / NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel PAC money (TrackAIPAC confirmed)	$26,156 total lifetime, from AMP (Americans for Good Government / American Principles) and the Republican Jewish Coalition. **No AIPAC-specific contribution found.** TrackAIPAC has separately published a public callout labeling him "Israel First," citing a speech where he said he was running "to stand for Israel" — this is a rhetorical/messaging flag, not a funding figure.	■ **PRO-ISRAEL PAC (not AIPAC) — judgment call**
Senate Leadership Fund (McConnell/ Thune PAC)	$71 million pledged for ads attacking Cooper and boosting Whatley; $17M already deployed as of late July.	■ **FLAGGED**
Energy company donors	$250K from Voltagrid CEO; $150K+ from ConocoPhillips, Marathon executives and other energy firms.	■ **FLAGGED**
Oil & gas stock profits	Personal stock gains of up to $63K in a single weekend tied to energy policy he supports.	■ **FLAGGED**
Total raised (through Q2 2026)	Over $11 million raised across campaign and joint fundraising committee; ~$2.5–3.4M cash on hand.	**NOTE**

AIPAC MONEY: **NO DIRECT AIPAC $ FOUND** — $26,156 from other pro-Israel PACs (AMP, RJC). Whether this counts under the framework's AIPAC flag is a call for Uma.

BIG OIL / DARK MONEY: **FLAGGED** — Funded by energy companies he lobbied for. $71M super PAC from Republican Senate leadership.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — MICHAEL WHATLEY (updated):** The oil and gas money picture hasn't changed — it's still the clearest flag in this race. On the Israel question, the picture is more textured than Session 1 assumed: Whatley takes real money from pro-Israel PAC networks, just not AIPAC by name. TrackAIPAC itself treats him as a target regardless — their own messaging calls him "Israel First" based on his public statements, not his FEC filings. That's a meaningful distinction for a fifth-grade-reading-level audience: "he

> took AIPAC money" and "watchdogs call him Israel-aligned based on what he's said" are different claims, and the framework should be precise about which one it's making.

CANDIDATE B — ROY COOPER (D)

Democrat | Former Governor of North Carolina 2017–2025 | Former Attorney General 2001–2017 | Age 68 | Nash County, NC

Roy Cooper has won six statewide elections in North Carolina without a single loss. He served as Attorney General for 16 years, then as Governor for 8. He won the governor's race in 2016 and 2020 — both times Trump carried the state.

THE FACTS — RECORD IN OFFICE

Healthcare

As governor, Cooper expanded Medicaid in North Carolina — covering hundreds of thousands of low-income residents who had no health insurance. He opposes cuts to the ACA and supports protecting coverage for the nearly 1 million North Carolinians who depend on it.

Economic Record

During Cooper's tenure as governor, North Carolina was consistently ranked among the top states for business and job growth.

Public Safety

Cooper spent 16 years as Attorney General prosecuting violent criminals. He signed tougher bail and pretrial release laws as governor for violent offenders.

Hurricane Helene

Cooper was still governor when Helene hit in October 2024. He coordinated the state's emergency response in the immediate aftermath and has called for rebuilding FEMA, saying the federal agency "has been almost destroyed" under Trump.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	AMOUNT / NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel PAC money (TrackAIPAC confirmed)	$132,025 total lifetime, from DMFI (Democratic Majority for Israel), JAC (Joint Action Committee), and J Street. **No AIPAC-specific contribution found.** Note: J Street in particular is generally considered more dovish/ pro-diplomacy than AIPAC — lumping all three together as equivalent would misrepresent the underlying groups' actual positions.	■ **PRO-ISRAEL PAC (not AIPAC) — judgment call**
Small donors — 95% under $100	Over $34.9 million total contributions through June 2026. Donors in all 100 NC counties.	**CLEAN**
Senate Majority PAC (Democrat)	$32 million committed to Cooper's race. This is outside money — not from Cooper's campaign directly.	**NOTE**
		NOTE

Total raised (through Q2 2026)	~$35 million raised, ~$20.7 million cash on hand — outpacing Whatley roughly 3-to-1.	

AIPAC MONEY: **NO DIRECT AIPAC $ FOUND** — $132,025 from other pro-Israel PACs (DMFI, JAC, J Street), including from J Street, a group generally distinct in stance from AIPAC. Whether this counts under the framework's AIPAC flag is a call for Uma.

BILLIONAIRE / DARK MONEY: **NO MAJOR RED FLAGS** — 95% small-dollar grassroots funding remains the clearest money signal in this race.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — ROY COOPER (updated):** Cooper's grassroots fundraising advantage holds up under Session 2 scrutiny — it's real and it's large. The pro-Israel PAC money ($132K) is worth naming honestly rather than either hiding it or inflating it into an "AIPAC-funded" claim that the numbers don't actually support. J Street's inclusion in that total matters — treating it identically to AIPAC money would blur a real distinction that a credibility-first archive should preserve.

STATUS: SESSION 2 UPDATE COMPLETE for money data. AIPAC-flag classification is pending Uma's decision on scope (literal AIPAC only vs. broader pro-Israel PAC category). Expected to be among the most expensive Senate races in US history — outside spending continues to escalate.

CHANGELOG (Session 2, August 2026):
— Cooper AIPAC status: PENDING → specific figure found ($132,025, non-AIPAC pro-Israel PACs); flag classification open pending framework decision
— Whatley AIPAC status: PENDING → specific figure found ($26,156, non-AIPAC pro-Israel PACs); flag classification open pending framework decision
— Updated fundraising totals for both candidates through Q2 2026
— Added framework question banner distinguishing "AIPAC dollars" from "pro-Israel PAC dollars" for Uma's decision

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."
— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #006 — New Hampshire

Session 2 | October 2026 | Pappas (D) vs. Sununu (R)

> **WHAT CHANGED:** Both Sept 8 primaries are over. **Chris Pappas (D)** won about 65% to 33% over Karishma Manzur. **John E. Sununu (R)** won the Republican nomination. The matchup is set. This file replaces the pre-primary version.

This seat is open for the first time since 1990. Jeanne Shaheen (D) is retiring after 18 years. The general election is November 3, 2026. New Hampshire does **not** use ranked-choice voting. Whoever gets the most votes wins.

Candidate A — Chris Pappas (D)

U.S. Representative, NH-01, since 2019.

THE FACTS — RECORD
Voted "present" on an amendment (by Rep. Massie) to cut U.S. aid to Israel. He has called for a "reset" of the U.S.–Israel relationship and has criticized the Netanyahu government.
Spoke at an AIPAC summit earlier this year. He has since described it as a meeting with local constituents.
His primary opponent, Manzur, attacked him for taking AIPAC money. An August UNH poll had him ahead of her by 13 points. He won by about 32.

FOLLOW THE MONEY	NOTES	FLAG
AIPAC	AIPAC was his largest single donor in the 2024 House race, over $300,000. *Carried from Session 1. I could not re-open the filing today.* AIPAC endorsed him in his House races. It has **not** endorsed in this Senate race.	**FLAGGED**
Other pro-Israel groups	Democratic Majority for Israel and the Jewish Democratic Council of America praised his win. I did not find dollar amounts for this race.	**PENDING**

MONEY TEST — PAPPAS: AIPAC = **FLAGGED** (House-cycle money; no Senate endorsement). Corporate / dark money = **PENDING**.

Candidate B — John E. Sununu (R)

Former U.S. Senator from New Hampshire (2003–2009). Former U.S. Representative (1997–2003). MIT engineer. Son of former Governor John H. Sununu, brother of former Governor Chris Sununu. He lost this seat to Shaheen in 2008. Trump endorsed him after Sununu called Trump a "loser" in a 2024 op-ed.

THE FACTS — RECORD
In the Senate he voted with his party 84% of the time. He supported the Iraq War, opposed a same-sex marriage ban, and opposed an assault weapons ban.
Israel record: Sununu is Arab-American with Palestinian and Lebanese heritage. In the House (1997–2003) he voted against several pro-Israel resolutions (1997, 1999, 2000). As a senator he took more standard pro-Israel votes (2005, 2007, 2008). His campaign says he backs Trump's Israel policy and Israel's right to defend itself.

FOLLOW THE MONEY	NOTES	FLAG
AIPAC / pro-Israel PACs	No current-cycle AIPAC money found. TrackAIPAC shows $19,500 over his whole career, all from old cycles. When a reporter asked AIPAC if it would get involved in this race, it declined to comment. I have not re-checked his filings since the primary.	**CLEAN (none found)**
Corporate / private sector	He spent 18+ years in the private sector after the Senate. Donor sources for this race still need research.	**PENDING**

MONEY TEST — SUNUNU: AIPAC = **NONE FOUND** (with real context, not just a data gap). Corporate / dark money = **PENDING**.

ARCHITECT ANALYSIS (opinion): This is a rare race where the Democrat carries the AIPAC flag and the Republican does not. Pappas took AIPAC's money for the House but has been pulling away from it in public. Sununu's record gives a reason AIPAC has stayed out. Neither fits the usual script. Voters should ask Pappas whether his "reset" talk is a change or a campaign line, and ask Sununu who is funding him this time. I have not seen a reliable general-election poll, so I will not guess who is ahead.

STATUS: SESSION 2, NOMINEES CONFIRMED. Open items: re-verify Pappas's AIPAC total from FEC; pull Sununu's Q3 donors and outside spending; watch for super PAC money in the general.
Change log: Session 2 (Aug) → Oct 2026: primaries decided; Pappas and Sununu are nominees; removed Brown and Manzur sections; replaced pre-primary text.
Sources: Jewish Insider, WSHU / New England Public Media, TrackAIPAC (via Session 1).

"*I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide.*"
— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #007 — Alaska

Session 2 Update | August 2026

SESSION 2 UPDATE — AIPAC CONFIRMED FLAGGED (SULLIVAN)

> **WHAT CHANGED SINCE SESSION 1:** A nonpartisan outlet (Alaska Public Media, reporting directly from FEC filings) confirms AIPAC conveyed approximately $250,000 in pass-through individual contributions to Sullivan's 2026 campaign specifically — a real, current-cycle, direct AIPAC figure, not the "other pro-Israel PAC" pattern seen in NC and Texas. Peltola's 2026 cycle shows no AIPAC money found (Emily's List $44,000 is her comparable conduit); her AIPAC connection remains tied to her 2024 House race only, as Session 1 already noted.

Alaska uses a unique voting system. All candidates run together on one nonpartisan primary ballot, and the top four vote-getters advance to a ranked-choice general election. Nonpartisan primary: August 18, 2026. General: November 3, 2026. Trump won Alaska by 13 points in 2024, but Peltola is the only Democrat to win statewide in Alaska since 2008 — and she is currently polling ahead of Sullivan.

CANDIDATE A — DAN SULLIVAN (R)

Republican incumbent | U.S. Senator since 2015 | Marine Corps Colonel (Reserve) | Age 61 | Fairview Park, OH born

Sullivan grew up in Ohio, not Alaska. He moved to the state as an adult, married into an Alaskan family, and built his political career there. He served as Alaska's Attorney General and Commissioner of Natural Resources before winning the Senate seat in 2014.

THE FACTS — VOTING RECORD

Healthcare

Sullivan voted to cut Medicaid as part of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Healthcare costs in Alaska are already among the highest in the nation. Sullivan is rated as Alaska's third least popular senator in part because of healthcare cuts.

Fisheries — A Critical Alaska Issue

Sullivan supports allowing unsustainable trawling in Alaskan waters — a major issue where fishing is not just an industry but culture and survival for Alaska Native communities.

Trump Alignment

Sullivan has largely voted in lockstep with Trump's agenda — backing cuts to public radio, food assistance, and healthcare programs that rural Alaskans depend on.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
	Approximately $250,000 in individual contributions conveyed by AIPAC to Sullivan's 2026 campaign, per Alaska Public Media's review of campaign	

AIPAC — direct pass-through contributions	finance reports. Technically pass-through/conduit contributions from donors nationwide, not a direct PAC check — but AIPAC is the organizing mechanism. *Separately, the advocacy tracker TrackAIPAC lists Sullivan's career total (all cycles, all pro-Israel PACs) at $131,100+ — a different, non-comparable measure to the 2026-specific $250K figure above; the two should not be added together.*	■ **CONFIRMED FLAGGED**
Oil & energy industry (career)	Long history of oil and gas industry support as Alaska's chief resource advocate.	■ **FLAGGED**
Republican establishment PACs	Standard Republican Senate PAC support.	■ **WATCH**
Q1 2026 fundraising	$1.7 million raised Q1 2026 — well behind Peltola's $8.9 million same quarter. $7 million cash on hand from prior cycles.	**NOTE**

AIPAC MONEY: **CONFIRMED FLAGGED** — ~$250,000 in AIPAC pass-through contributions to the current campaign, per nonpartisan reporting. This is no longer a pending item.

OIL INDUSTRY / DARK MONEY: **FLAGGED** — Career history of oil and energy industry funding.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — DAN SULLIVAN (updated):** This closes the loop Session 1 left open, and it's a real one — $250,000 in AIPAC-conveyed money is not a rounding error, and it came from a nonpartisan outlet doing its own FEC review, not an advocacy tracker's framing. Combined with his existing oil-industry funding history and his voting record on Medicaid, public radio, and trawling, Sullivan now carries a fully documented case on both automatic disqualifying flags.

CANDIDATE B — MARY PELTOLA (D)

Democrat | Former U.S. Representative Alaska At-Large 2022–2025 | Yup'ik Alaska Native | Age 52 | Bethel, Alaska

Mary Peltola is Yup'ik. She grew up along the Kuskokwim River and served 10 years in the Alaska state legislature before winning Alaska's at-large congressional seat in 2022 — becoming the first Alaska Native ever elected to Congress. She lost her House seat in 2024 by only 6,722 votes in a state Trump won by 13 points.

THE FACTS — RECORD

Fisheries — Her Signature Issue

Peltola secured a ban on foreign trawled fish after 30 years of delays and introduced legislation to protect salmon populations from unsustainable pollock trawling.

Infrastructure

Peltola brought home over $9 billion for Alaska infrastructure and rural broadband.

Bipartisan Record

Peltola is a Blue Dog Democrat who regularly broke with her party, including supporting the ConocoPhillips Willow oil project.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
AIPAC — 2026 Senate cycle	No AIPAC pass-through contributions found in nonpartisan reporting on the 2026 Senate race specifically. Her documented AIPAC connection is from her 2024 House campaign (part of a $96,546 career pro-Israel-PAC total tracked by TrackAIPAC, which also includes J Street, JDCA, DMFI, and JAC across her career) — not the current Senate race.	**NO EVIDENCE FOUND THIS CYCLE — leaning clean, 2024 House-cycle AIPAC link remains on record**
Emily's List	~$44,000 steered through Emily's List — a PAC supporting Democratic women who back abortion rights — acting as her comparable conduit in this race, per the same nonpartisan report.	**NOTE**
Small donors / grassroots	$8.9 million raised Q1 2026 — vast majority from individual donors. More Alaska in-state donors than Sullivan in raw numbers.	**CLEAN**

AIPAC MONEY: **NO EVIDENCE FOUND, 2026 CYCLE** — the 2024 House-race AIPAC conduit connection stays on the record as history, but is not repeating in this Senate race per current reporting.

BILLIONAIRE / DARK MONEY: **NO MAJOR DARK MONEY FOUND** — predominantly small-donor funded.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — MARY PELTOLA (updated):** The 2026 picture is cleaner for Peltola than Session 1 left it — no fresh AIPAC money found in this race, with Emily's List playing the equivalent conduit role instead. Her 2024 AIPAC history stays in the file because it happened and voters should know it, but it should not be presented as an active flag on her current Senate bid without new evidence, and none has surfaced.

STATUS: SESSION 2 COMPLETE. Sullivan's AIPAC flag is now CONFIRMED via nonpartisan reporting. Peltola's 2026-cycle AIPAC status reads clean; her 2024 history is preserved as context, not an active flag. Nonpartisan primary August 18, 2026. RCV general November 3.

CHANGELOG (Session 2, August 2026):
— Sullivan AIPAC status: PENDING → CONFIRMED FLAGGED (~$250,000 pass-through contributions, 2026 cycle, per Alaska Public Media/FEC review)
— Added distinction between TrackAIPAC's $131,100+ career-total figure (advocacy tracker, all cycles) and the $250,000 2026-cycle-specific figure (nonpartisan outlet) — these are not additive
— Peltola AIPAC status: PENDING → no evidence found for 2026 cycle; 2024 House-cycle history preserved as context, not treated as an active flag
— Updated Q1 2026 fundraising figures for both candidates

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."

— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #008 — Iowa

Session 2 Update | August 2026

SESSION 2 UPDATE — DIRECT FEC SEARCH (NOT IN TRACKAIPAC DATABASE)

> **WHAT CHANGED SINCE SESSION 1:** Iowa isn't in TrackAIPAC's tracked-candidate list at all, so this required a direct FEC/ProPublica itemizer search rather than a tracker lookup. No AIPAC PAC contributions found for either candidate. Hinson's itemized PAC donors include ACA International, National Stone Sand & Gravel Association, H&R Block's BlockPAC — no pro-Israel organizations of any kind appear. Turek has been endorsed by J Street PAC (a pro-diplomacy pro-Israel group, distinct from AIPAC), but no dollar figure is attached to that endorsement, and no AIPAC money was found.

Iowa's Senate seat is open — Republican Joni Ernst is retiring after two terms. Trump won Iowa by 13 points in 2024. Both primaries were decided June 2, 2026: Hinson (R) and Turek (D) are the nominees.

ASHLEY HINSON (R)

U.S. Representative Iowa 2nd District 2021–present | Former TV news anchor | Age 42 | Marion, Iowa

Hinson is a former TV news anchor turned politician. She has Trump's endorsement and won the primary with 74% of the vote.

THE FACTS — VOTING RECORD

Healthcare — The Biggest Issue in This Race

Hinson voted FOR the Medicaid cuts in the One Big Beautiful Bill. KFF estimates roughly 110,000 more Iowans will become uninsured by 2034 as a result. She voted AGAINST extending enhanced ACA subsidies on January 8; over 120,000 Iowans saw premiums increase by an average of 95%. She accepted $17,000 from HMO PACs, $20,500 from health insurance PACs, and $22,500 from pharmaceutical manufacturer PACs since 2020, while now running ads fact-checkers have flagged as misleading about lowering healthcare costs.

Reproductive Rights

Supported the overturn of Roe v. Wade. Voted for Iowa's six-week abortion ban. Voted AGAINST bipartisan protections for birth control access, then pivoted to pushing birth control access legislation once the race became competitive.

War & Accountability

Voted AGAINST requiring congressional authorization for Trump to continue the war with Iran. In a private recording, she acknowledged the war could become a "political liability" and noted she had attended four Iowa soldiers' funerals since December.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG

Pro-Israel PAC money	No AIPAC or other pro-Israel PAC contributions found in itemized FEC records. Her documented PAC donors are entirely domestic industry groups: ACA International (debt collection), National Stone Sand & Gravel Association, H&R Block's BlockPAC, and similar.	**NO EVIDENCE FOUND — genuinely clean on this specific flag**
Health insurance & pharma PACs	$17K HMO PACs, $20.5K health insurance PACs, $22.5K pharma PACs since 2020.	■ **FLAGGED**
Senate Leadership Fund (GOP)	$29 million ad reservation for Iowa from Republican Senate super PAC.	■ **FLAGGED**
Trump Republican network	Trump endorsement brings national GOP donor infrastructure.	■ **FLAGGED**
Cash on hand Q1 2026	$6.5 million — significant fundraising advantage over Turek.	**NOTE**

AIPAC MONEY: **NO EVIDENCE FOUND** — a direct FEC search turned up no pro-Israel PAC contributions of any kind. This is not the same "PENDING" as Session 1 — it's an actual clean result from itemized records.

HEALTHCARE INDUSTRY / DARK MONEY: **FLAGGED** — funded by insurance and pharma PACs while voting against healthcare access for Iowans. $29M GOP super PAC.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — ASHLEY HINSON (updated):** The healthcare picture remains the sharpest story in this race and is unchanged. The AIPAC question is now closed clean — genuinely, not by default. Her money story is entirely domestic: health insurance, pharma, and GOP infrastructure. That's arguably a more damning picture for Iowans specifically than an Israel-lobby flag would have been, since it's the industry that profits directly from the coverage she voted to cut.

JOSH TUREK (D)

Iowa State Representative, 20th District 2023–present | Paralympic gold medalist wheelchair basketball | Age 47

Josh Turek is a state legislator who competes in wheelchair basketball — a Paralympic gold medalist. He represents the most conservative district in Iowa to send a Democrat to the state legislature.

THE FACTS — RECORD & POSITIONS

What He Is Running On

Protecting healthcare and reversing Medicaid cuts. Economic populism. Veterans' issues — backed by VoteVets, which spent nearly $10 million supporting him. Opposition to the Iran war and accountability for military spending.

Corporate PAC Pledge — A Real Complication

Turek has publicly pledged not to take corporate PAC money. However, Iowa Field Report — a nonpartisan outlet — found his own FEC filings show a contribution from the NEA Fund for Children and Public Education, which the FEC itself classifies as a "Corporation PAC – Qualified." This is a teachers' union PAC, not a traditional corporate donor in the way voters likely picture when they hear the term, but it technically falls under the FEC's own "corporate PAC" designation Turek pledged to avoid. Worth naming honestly rather than treating the pledge as airtight.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel PAC money	No AIPAC contribution found. J Street PAC — a pro-diplomacy, pro-Israel group distinct from AIPAC — has publicly endorsed Turek, but no dollar figure is attached to that endorsement in available records.	**NO EVIDENCE FOUND — genuinely clean on AIPAC specifically**
VoteVets (outside spending)	Nearly $10 million spent by VoteVets supporting Turek in the primary. Outside spending, not from his campaign directly.	■ **WATCH**
NEA Fund for Children and Public Education	Teachers' union PAC contribution — technically classified by the FEC as a "Corporation PAC," in tension with his no-corporate-PAC pledge.	■ **WATCH — pledge vs. record gap**
Chuck Schumer / DSCC	Backed by Senate Democratic leadership. National Democratic money will flow in.	■ **WATCH**
Cash on hand Q1 2026	~$757K — significantly less than Hinson's $6.5M.	**NOTE**

AIPAC MONEY: **NO EVIDENCE FOUND** — clean on this specific flag, confirmed via direct search rather than absence of tracking.

DARK MONEY: **WATCH** — $10M VoteVets outside spending is significant. His no-corporate-PAC pledge doesn't fully match his own FEC filings.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — JOSH TUREK (updated):** Clean on AIPAC specifically, same as Hinson. The more interesting money story here is the pledge-versus-record gap on corporate PACs — a small one in dollar terms, but exactly the kind of thing this archive exists to catch regardless of which party it involves. Naming it doesn't mean treating a teachers' union PAC as equivalent to a pharmaceutical company; it means being consistent about matching claims to filings.

STATUS: SESSION 2 COMPLETE. Both candidates confirmed clean on AIPAC via direct FEC search. Healthcare money remains the dominant flag for Hinson; the corporate-PAC pledge gap is a new, smaller flag for Turek. Rated Likely Republican but watch for movement if healthcare remains the top issue.

CHANGELOG (Session 2, August 2026):
— Hinson AIPAC status: PENDING → NO EVIDENCE FOUND (confirmed via direct FEC/ProPublica itemizer search, not tracker absence)

— Turek AIPAC status: PENDING → NO EVIDENCE FOUND (J Street PAC endorsement noted, no dollar figure, distinct from AIPAC)
— Added new flag: Turek's no-corporate-PAC pledge vs. NEA Fund for Children and Public Education contribution (FEC-classified "Corporation PAC")
— Confirmed Iowa is not tracked in TrackAIPAC's candidate database, requiring the direct-search approach used here

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."

— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #009 — Nebraska

Session 2 Update | August 2026

SESSION 2 UPDATE — AIPAC RESEARCH COMPLETE + NEW CONDUCT FLAG (OSBORN)

AIPAC FINDINGS: No AIPAC or pro-Israel PAC money found for either Ricketts or Osborn — a clean result on this specific flag for both. Notably, Osborn received and publicly touted an endorsement from A New Policy PAC, a group Jewish Insider and others describe as anti-Israel — the mirror-image case to AIPAC funding, and worth noting for balance rather than omitting.

NEW FINDING — NOT IN SESSION 1: Multiple outlets (Nebraska Public Media, Fox News, and a formal FEC complaint from the watchdog group Americans for Public Trust) report that Osborn's campaign and a PAC he founded, the Working Class Heroes Fund, have paid roughly $370,000–$434,000 to Osborn's own family members — his wife, daughter, and sister-in-law — for campaign roles and consulting work. A separate complaint from the Nebraska Accountability and Disclosure Commission chair alleges the PAC used an improper "soft money" account to pay staff. *Evidence tier: testimony/complaint pending verification — these are formal FEC complaints under review, not yet adjudicated findings. Osborn's campaign has called the complaints politically motivated "nuisance" filings tied to Ricketts allies.* This is a self-funding/family-payment pattern, not an AIPAC or billionaire-donor pattern — it's a distinct category worth its own line in the money test, not folded into either existing flag.

Nebraska is one of the most unusual Senate races of 2026. The real contest is between billionaire Republican incumbent Pete Ricketts and independent working-class union mechanic Dan Osborn — the Nebraska Democratic Party's nominee, Cindy Burbank, is expected to step aside to let Osborn run one-on-one against Ricketts. Osborn must submit signatures by August 3, 2026 to qualify for the general election ballot.

PETE RICKETTS (R)

Republican incumbent | Appointed 2023 | Former Nebraska Governor 2015–2023 | Age 61 | Son of TD Ameritrade founder Joe Ricketts

Pete Ricketts did not win this Senate seat. He was appointed by the governor after Ben Sasse resigned, then won a 2024 special election to serve out the rest of Sasse's term. His family founded TD Ameritrade and co-owns the Chicago Cubs.

THE FACTS — VOTING RECORD

Healthcare & Medicaid

As governor, Ricketts opposed Medicaid expansion under the ACA for years. Nebraska voters eventually passed Medicaid expansion by ballot initiative in 2018 over Ricketts' objections.

Abortion

Ricketts and his family spent millions trying to keep Nebraska's strict 12-week abortion ban in place, including $4+ million into PACs opposing a 2024 ballot measure to expand access.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel PAC money	No AIPAC or pro-Israel PAC contributions found in itemized FEC/ ProPublica records. His documented PAC donors include the Health & Fitness Association PAC and the National Association of Broadcasters PAC — no pro-Israel organizations of any kind appear.	**NO EVIDENCE FOUND — genuinely clean on this specific flag**
Ricketts family self-funding	Family spent $8.8M in the 2024 cycle alone — 9% of all Nebraska political spending. Total family investment: $18.6M+ over 12 years in Nebraska politics.	■ **FLAGGED**
Joe & Marlene Ricketts (parents)	36th-largest political donors in the country in 2024 cycle. Combined $28.9M.	■ **FLAGGED**
American Excellence PAC (Ricketts' own leadership PAC)	Sent $5,000 to Ken Paxton's Texas Senate campaign in June 2026 — notable timing, shortly after a controversial plea deal in Paxton's office was linked to a convicted offender relocating to Nebraska. This is outbound spending, not a funding source for Ricketts himself, but relevant character context.	■ **WATCH — outbound, not incoming**

AIPAC MONEY: **NO EVIDENCE FOUND** — clean on this specific flag.

BILLIONAIRE FUNDING: **FLAGGED** — Ricketts IS the billionaire family. Unchanged from Session 1.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — PETE RICKETTS (updated):** The billionaire-capture case remains the defining story here and is unchanged — AIPAC simply isn't part of his funding picture. The $5,000 his own leadership PAC sent to Paxton is a minor but telling data point about which national Republicans he chooses to prop up.

DAN OSBORN (I)

Independent | Former Union President BCTGM Local 50G | Navy veteran | Industrial mechanic | Age ~50 | Omaha, Nebraska

Dan Osborn ran as an independent in 2024 against Senator Deb Fischer — and nearly won, losing by only 7 points in a state Trump won by 20. He has said he will not caucus with either party if elected.

THE FACTS — RECORD & POSITIONS

What He Stands For

Lowering taxes for small businesses and the middle class. Ending "never-ending foreign wars." Workers' rights and union protections. True independence — no party caucus.

Israel Policy — New Detail

Osborn accepted and publicly touted an endorsement from A New Policy PAC, a group founded by a former State Department official who resigned in protest over U.S. support for Israel after October 7. The group's advocacy arm has accused Israel of genocide and calls for cutting U.S. aid to Israel — positions well outside what Osborn had previously said publicly. When asked directly about the endorsement,

Osborn told Jewish Insider he opposes antisemitism and a nuclear Iran, but voiced concern about American involvement in Middle East conflicts. In a 2024 debate, he had previously expressed support for Israel's response to the October 7 attacks while raising concerns about civilian casualties. His position reads as genuinely evolving/inconsistent rather than fixed in either direction.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel / anti-Israel PAC money	No AIPAC money found — confirmed clean on the specific AIPAC flag. Separately, endorsed by A New Policy PAC (anti-Israel), though no dollar figure is attached to that endorsement.	**NO AIPAC EVIDENCE FOUND**
Family payments — NEW	Multiple outlets and a formal FEC complaint allege $370,000– $434,000 in campaign and PAC payments to Osborn's wife, daughter, sister-in-law, and himself across his 2024 and 2026 campaigns, including via a "soft money" account not meant to fund federal campaign activity. Osborn's campaign disputes the characterization and calls the complaints politically motivated.	**■ NEW FLAG — pending FEC review, not yet adjudicated**
Individual small donors	$3.7M of $3.86M total from individual contributors. Largest donor states: California ($369,818) and Nebraska ($329,630) are close, meaning his top donor state is not his home state.	**■ WATCH**
National Democratic infrastructure	Donations from Sen. Elizabeth Warren's campaign, PACs of former Sens. Bob Kerrey and Jon Tester, Rep. Jamie Raskin's leadership PAC, and Senate Majority PAC (Schumer-aligned) support in 2024. ActBlue is a significant processing platform for his fundraising.	**■ WATCH — in tension with independence framing**
AIPAC / party PACs	No AIPAC funding found. No traditional party PAC money — he is independent.	**CLEAN**

AIPAC MONEY: **NO EVIDENCE FOUND** — clean on this specific flag.

DARK MONEY: **WATCH** — Progressive megadonors and ActBlue raise questions about claimed independence, as Session 1 noted.

NEW — FAMILY PAYMENTS: FLAGGED, PENDING FEC REVIEW — $370K–$434K in alleged payments to family members requires its own line item; this is separate from the AIPAC and billionaire-money tests and shouldn't be folded into either.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — DAN OSBORN (updated):** Clean on AIPAC, but Session 2 surfaces a genuinely new and serious complication that Session 1 didn't have: formal complaints alleging Osborn has funneled a substantial sum to his own family through his campaign and a PAC he controls. This is exactly the kind of self-dealing concern the framework's five pillars and money test exist to catch — it just doesn't fit neatly into either the AIPAC or billionaire-funding boxes, which is why it needs its own line. The claims are contested and not yet resolved by the FEC, so this profile presents them as pending allegations, consistent with the archive's evidence-tier standard — not as settled fact, but also not omitted given multiple independent outlets are reporting the same underlying FEC filings.

STATUS: SESSION 2 COMPLETE on AIPAC for both candidates (clean). NEW conduct flag added for Osborn pending FEC resolution — recommend tracking this story going into publication, as an FEC ruling could land before or after your release date. Osborn's August 3 signature deadline to appear on the general-election ballot is unresolved as of this writing — confirm before launch. Nebraska Democratic nominee Cindy Burbank is expected to step aside for Osborn.

CHANGELOG (Session 2, August 2026):
— Ricketts AIPAC status: PENDING → NO EVIDENCE FOUND (confirmed via direct FEC/ProPublica search)
— Osborn AIPAC status: PENDING → NO EVIDENCE FOUND; added new context on his A New Policy PAC (anti-Israel) endorsement for balance
— NEW: added family-payment conduct flag for Osborn ($370K–$434K alleged, per FEC complaints and multiple outlets; pending review, contested by campaign)
— Added note on Cindy Burbank's expected withdrawal in Osborn's favor
— Added minor context: Ricketts' own leadership PAC gave $5,000 to Ken Paxton's Texas campaign

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."

— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #010 — Texas

Session 2 Update | August 2026

SESSION 2 UPDATE — AIPAC CONFIRMED CLEAN, BOTH CANDIDATES

> **WHAT CHANGED SINCE SESSION 1:** TrackAIPAC's candidate-level database shows both Paxton and Talarico at $0 in pro-Israel PAC money — no AIPAC, no DMFI, no JAC, no RJC, nothing. This is the cleanest AIPAC result of any race in the archive so far. Both candidates are noted by TrackAIPAC as self-described "pro-Israel" in public statements, but that is rhetoric, not funding — this file distinguishes the two the same way the NC file does.

Texas is one of the most shocking Senate races of 2026. Four-term Republican incumbent John Cornyn was knocked out in his own primary by state Attorney General Ken Paxton — a man who was indicted on felony charges, impeached by his own party, and acquitted in a trial his own wife was barred from voting in. No Democrat has won a Senate seat in Texas since 1988, but fundraising and polling have made this a genuinely competitive race.

CANDIDATE A — KEN PAXTON (R)

Republican nominee | Texas Attorney General since 2015 | Age 63 | Endorsed by Donald Trump

Ken Paxton defeated four-term incumbent John Cornyn in the Republican runoff — the first Texas incumbent senator to lose renomination since 1970. He has never held federal office. His entire record is as Texas AG.

THE FACTS — LEGAL & CONDUCT RECORD

Criminal Indictment — Securities Fraud

In 2015, Paxton was indicted on three felony counts of securities fraud. In 2024, just weeks before trial, prosecutors struck a deal: charges dropped in exchange for 100 hours of community service, ethics training, and restitution to victims.

Impeachment — By His Own Party

In May 2023, the Republican-controlled Texas House voted 121–23 to impeach Paxton on articles including bribery, abuse of office, and obstruction. Seven of his own senior aides reported him to the FBI. The Texas Senate acquitted him 16–14 — two votes short of removal. His wife, state Sen. Angela Paxton, was barred from voting in her own husband's trial.

Whistleblowers & Taxpayer Cost

Texas taxpayers paid $6.6 million to settle a whistleblower suit brought by aides Paxton fired after they reported him to the FBI.

Divorce — On Biblical Grounds

Paxton's wife filed for divorce citing "biblical grounds." He denied the allegations in court but largely avoided the topic on the campaign trail.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel PAC money (TrackAIPAC confirmed)	$0. TrackAIPAC lists him only as self-described "pro-Israel" based on a public statement, with no PAC dollars attached to that label.	**CLEAN — $0 confirmed**
Illegal donations flagged by FEC	Campaign faces multiple FEC compliance requests to explain and return donations exceeding $100,000.	■ **FLAGGED**
Lone Star Liberty PAC (super PAC)	Spent about $770,000 supporting Paxton and $200,000 opposing Talarico.	■ **FLAGGED**
Fellowship PAC (crypto super PAC)	Spent $500,000 supporting Paxton.	■ **FLAGGED**
Cornyn donors withholding support	Traditional Republican donors refusing to back Paxton after divisive primary; major donors reported pulling back entirely per national reporting.	**NOTE**
Total raised (through Q2 2026)	~$16.8 million cumulative since start of race — vs. Talarico's $72.0 million. Paxton is massively outspent, roughly 4-to-1.	**NOTE**

AIPAC MONEY: **CONFIRMED CLEAN** — $0 from AIPAC or any pro-Israel PAC network.

ILLEGAL DONATIONS / DARK MONEY: FLAGGED — FEC compliance issues, super PAC support, crypto PAC money, pattern of using office for donor benefit.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — KEN PAXTON (updated):** The AIPAC question closes clean here — no pro-Israel PAC money at all, a genuinely rare result in this archive. That doesn't change the core picture: his own party impeached him, his own staff reported him to the FBI, and his own donor base is reportedly walking away. The framework does not need to reach for the Israel money angle to make its case on Paxton — the domestic conduct record does that on its own.

CANDIDATE B — JAMES TALARICO (D)

Democrat | Texas State Representative since 2019 | Former teacher | Age 33 | Austin, Texas

James Talarico is a former public school teacher who was elected to the Texas legislature at 27. He has raised a historic $72 million cumulative — the largest Democratic Senate fundraising haul in Texas history — and leads Paxton in current polling.

THE FACTS — RECORD & POSITIONS

Legislative Record

Talarico has served in the Texas House since 2019, focused on public education, healthcare access, and voting rights.

Healthcare

Supports expanding Medicaid in Texas — one of a handful of states that still has not expanded Medicaid under the ACA. Supports protecting women's reproductive rights.

Campaign Positioning

Talarico has framed the race as: "Ken Paxton has a criminal record. I have a legislative record."

Controversies

Republicans have attacked Talarico on cultural issues — past social media posts, comments about gender, his vegan diet. He said "God is nonbinary," which Trump specifically highlighted in a Truth Social attack. Voters should research these controversies directly.

THE FACTS — FOLLOW THE MONEY

SOURCE	NOTES	FLAG
Pro-Israel PAC money (TrackAIPAC confirmed)	$0 in PAC dollars. TrackAIPAC notes an endorsement from JAC (Joint Action Committee) and self-describes as pro-Israel, but no money is attached to either.	**CLEAN — $0 confirmed**
Small donors — median $50	97–98% of contributions $100 or less. Donors from all 50 states and 246 of 254 Texas counties. Largest Q1/Q2 fundraising haul in US Senate history for a single quarter.	**CLEAN**
Lone Star Rising PAC (outside spender)	Largest outside spender for Talarico — about $4 million leading up to the primary. Backed by several billionaire donors. This is outside spending, not direct campaign funding.	■ **WATCH**
Total raised (through Q2 2026)	$72.0 million cumulative — $23.3 million cash on hand, more than triple Paxton's.	**NOTE**

AIPAC MONEY: **CONFIRMED CLEAN** — $0 from AIPAC or any pro-Israel PAC network. JAC endorsement noted but carries no dollar figure.

DARK MONEY: **WATCH** — Lone Star Rising PAC's ~$4M in billionaire-backed outside spending is worth naming, though it is not direct campaign money and Talarico's own fundraising is overwhelmingly small-dollar.

> **ARCHITECT ANALYSIS — JAMES TALARICO (updated):** Talarico closes clean on AIPAC, same as Paxton — a genuinely rare double-clean result for this specific flag in a single race. The one honest caveat is Lone Star Rising PAC's billionaire-backed outside spending on his behalf during the primary; it doesn't touch his direct fundraising picture, which remains overwhelmingly grassroots, but it's worth naming so the record is complete rather than only showing the flattering numbers.

STATUS: SESSION 2 COMPLETE. Both candidates confirmed clean on the AIPAC flag — a rare double-clean result. Domestic conduct and dark-money pictures remain the operative story for Paxton; Talarico's profile is largely settled pending no further developments.

CHANGELOG (Session 2, August 2026):
— Paxton AIPAC status: PENDING → CONFIRMED CLEAN ($0)
— Talarico AIPAC status: PENDING → CONFIRMED CLEAN ($0)
— Added Lone Star Rising PAC billionaire-backed outside spending note for Talarico (WATCH, not direct funding)
— Updated cumulative fundraising totals for both candidates through Q2 2026

"I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide."

— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party

CITIZEN INTELLIGENCE ARCHIVE

Senate Race File #011 — Florida (Special Election)

Session 2 | October 2026 | Moody (R) vs. Nixon (D)

> **WHAT CHANGED:** The Aug 18 primaries are over. Angie Nixon beat Alex Vindman, 56% to 44%. Ashley Moody won her primary with almost 80%. The matchup is set: **Moody vs. Nixon**.

This is a special election. Marco Rubio left the Senate to become Secretary of State. Governor DeSantis appointed Ashley Moody. The winner only serves the rest of Rubio's term, through January 2029. The seat is up again in 2028.

Candidate A — Ashley Moody (R, appointed incumbent)

Age 51, Plant City. Florida Attorney General 2019–2025. Appointed to the Senate in January 2025. This is her first time on a Senate ballot.

THE FACTS — RECORD
As Attorney General, she joined lawsuits against the Biden administration on immigration, healthcare and rules. She backed Florida's strict abortion law and voting measures that voting-rights groups criticized.
In the Senate she has voted with the Republican majority on budget, healthcare and spending. Her record is short.
Florida's home insurance crisis: prices jumped and big insurers left. The Session 1 file reported 70% of Floridians say they are falling behind. Moody has not offered a concrete plan.

FOLLOW THE MONEY	NOTES	FLAG
AIPAC	AIPAC endorsed Moody. At least $85,000 from pro-Israel PACs per TrackAIPAC. *Carried from Session 1. I could not re-open the TrackAIPAC page for this update.*	**FLAGGED**
Corporate PACs	Vindman's campaign said about $500K of her early money came from corporate PACs. That number comes from her rival, so it is a claim, not a filing.	**PENDING**
Party machine	DeSantis appointment. Full Florida Republican support.	**WATCH**

MONEY TEST — MOODY: AIPAC = **FLAGGED**. Corporate / dark money = **PENDING** (needs her FEC filings to confirm).

Candidate B — Angie Nixon (D, nominee)

Florida State Representative from Jacksonville. She won the primary 56% to 44% even though Vindman raised far more money.

THE FACTS — RECORD AND POSITIONS
Healthcare: Backs Medicare for All. Says everyone should have access to quality healthcare, housing and fully funded public schools.
Costs: In Tallahassee she went after grocery price-gouging. Her campaign message is affordability.
War / Israel: Spoke out for Palestinian rights. In late 2023 she sponsored a Florida House resolution calling for a ceasefire.
Politics: She says she recently joined the Democratic Socialists of America and the Working Families Party. Local reporting also quotes her rejecting the "socialist" label and calling herself a business owner and a lifelong Democrat. Both are her own words.
Public safety / voting: She was arrested at a May sit-in protesting DeSantis's new congressional map. She has been outspoken on voting rights and LGBTQ rights.
Endorsed by: Rep. Maxwell Frost and the Florida Democratic Party after the primary.

FOLLOW THE MONEY	NOTES	FLAG
Fundraising	About $975,000 raised through July 29 (FEC), about $265K cash on hand. Vindman raised $16.27M.	**NOTE**
Corporate PACs	She says she takes none and will fund the campaign from small donors. That is her promise. I did not check her full filing line by line.	**CLEAN (stated)**
AIPAC	No AIPAC money found in the reports I read. That is "no evidence found," not a full audit.	**PENDING**
Outside spending	I found no reporting of outside groups spending for or against her in the primary. Expect this to change in the general election.	**WATCH**

MONEY TEST — NIXON: AIPAC = **PENDING** (none found, not fully audited). Corporate / dark money = **CLEAN on what she says and what was reported**.

The Other Primary Finalist — Alexander Vindman (D, lost)

Retired Army Lt. Colonel and former National Security Council director. He raised $16.27M, took no corporate PAC money and no AIPAC money, and still lost by 12 points. He is no longer in the race.

ARCHITECT ANALYSIS (opinion): The big-money candidate lost. That tells you the voters in her party wanted a different kind of candidate. Now it is Nixon against a sitting senator who has an AIPAC endorsement and the DeSantis machine behind her. For a

voter, the two clearest questions are: who has a real plan for the insurance and cost-of-living crisis, and who is paid for by whom. On the AIPAC test, Moody is flagged and Nixon has no flag found so far. The test can move if new filings show otherwise. I have not seen general-election polls or ratings for this race, so I will not guess who is ahead.

STATUS: SESSION 2. NOMINEES CONFIRMED. Open items: re-check TrackAIPAC for Moody's current total; pull Moody and Nixon FEC filings for corporate PAC lines; watch for outside spending in the general.
Change log: Session 1 (June) → Session 2 (Oct 8): Nixon is the nominee; Vindman moved to "lost"; Moody primary result added; Nixon file built.
Sources: Florida Phoenix, Floridian Press, WUSF, Action News Jax, TrackAIPAC (via Session 1).

"*I don't tell you how to vote. I give you the X-ray. You decide.*"
— Uma | PixelMagic / MindTraveler | People Over Party